Megan Ybarra

Professor Hartl

BUS428: Business Ethics: RA

02 June 2023

Article Summary, Solove

In Solove's essay *Nothing to Hide,* Solove discusses that the "nothing-to-hide" argument is a common response to concerns about privacy. He believes it suggests that if individuals are not doing anything wrong, they have no reason to worry about government surveillance or data collection. However, this argument overlooks the complexities of privacy and its value, noting that privacy is a multifaceted concept that cannot be reduced to a singular essence. Privacy includes the protection of personal information, the prevention of surveillance, and the ability to control how one's data is used. The argument assumes that privacy is about hiding bad things, but privacy is also about maintaining personal boundaries and protecting individual autonomy.

Solove argues that the "nothing-to-hide" argument also fails to consider the potential harms of government data collection and processing. Even if individuals have nothing to hide, the collection and analysis of seemingly innocent data can reveal sensitive information. Additionally, the lack of transparency and accountability in how personal data is used can lead to exclusion and power imbalances between individuals and government institutions. Solove then focuses his attention on the issues with the Kafkaesque aspects of information processing and the potential for abuse, as the disclosure of personal information is just one concern among many.

Other concerns include the secondary use of data, the distortion of an individual's identity based on limited information, and the erosion of due process.

This leads Solove to conclude that the "nothing-to-hide" argument dismisses these broader privacy concerns by narrowly defining privacy as the concealment of wrongdoing, as it fails to recognize the importance of privacy for individual freedom, democracy, and the prevention of abuse by powerful institutions.