

Author : Brad H . Young .

Book. Paul the Jewish Theologian

Publisher: Baker Academic a division of baker publishing group Grand Rapids, Michigan / 2012

ISBN 978-0-8010-4821-0

Number of pages; 164

Paul the Jewish theologians reveal that Saul of Tarsus was in fact a man who never left Judaism, despite being rejected at the synagogue. Author Brad Young refutes the long-standing idea that Hellenism was the most influential context for Paul's evangelization. This distorted notion has led to very different interpretations of Paul's writings. Only if Paul is grounded in his Judaism and properly conditioned to be trained as a Pharisee can he be properly interpreted. He claims to have had a positive view, and separates. Bradford Hume's Young, also known as Brad Young, is Professor of Biblical Literature in the Graduate School of Judeo-Christian Studies at Oral Roberts University. He is also the founder and president of the Gospel Research Foundation, Inc. He left his PhD from ORU. Young Tulsa to Israel. He completed his postgraduate studies in Early Christianity and Ancient Judaism at the Hebrew University of Jerusalem.

The book makes no sense from its title. Young begins by attacking the non-Semitic tendencies of the Church from the second century to the present day. I completely agree that ignoring the Jewish context of Jesus and Paul distorts our reading and leads to a theology not drawn from the biblical text. I have read several books on spirituality. He protested too much and insisted that the church said there was no grace in the Old Testament. I understood that Jews work for salvation, Christians only believe. Even the old-school dispensationalists, which made many pastors believe, were brought up saying that God is always merciful, and that salvation is always mine by faith in God. He noted that it was Young's blessing to use Strowman's argument. For example, in the chapter Paul and the Law, Matthew 5:17 ("I have not come to destroy the law") and rejects Marcion because Paul deals with important texts on the Torah (Rome 31 is mentioned, the only text he cites!), the reader realizes that Marcion was completely wrong, but that Paul was labeled a "Jewish theologian". so, I do not know if that is possible. I am not Marcionian,

But it is easy to twist his words and say that the church was "Marcian." Another problem with Young's style is that he ignores important statements. For example, he says there are "amazing parallels" between Paul's theology and the Dead Sea Scrolls (p. 17) but does not give a single example. What are the striking similarities? I may be exaggerating, but a footnote is enough to show that it is more than just a statement. Later in the book, he says, "The law is spiritual," paralleling Romans 8:2, "The Law of the Spirit of Life" (p.25). You have not explained what the "law" is. How is the Torah spiritual? How can it be explained to someone like me who is a Christian novice? spiritual", nor is it clear what he means - Paul

argues that the law is "the spirit of life". Does not that are the case with the Romans, but they remain confused.

Given the subject matter, I found some things in the book to be strange. For example, he has a short chapter on Pentecost as the basis for Pauline's mission. I question that statement, but Young devoted much of the chapter to the feast's messianic narrative rather than showing how fundamental Pentecost was to Paul's (pagan) mission. On page 39 he notes that the inclusion of the Golden Rule in the Letter to the Gentiles after the Council of Jerusalem, found in the Codex Bezae, is "an indication of the decision of the Council." I do not think anyone would seriously argue that point.

Paul wanted to focus on his passion for Torah teaching , and that is why he was unmarried? Weird notion. Not because he thought the world was going to end in a few months then. What Torah teaching does he do in his letters to the gentile churches? None except to critique and undermine the Law and remove it as the basis of God covenant with gentile converts.

Being a former Muslim converted into Christian Catholic I like to be able to defend my arguments when it comes to the Bible and the Holy Scriptures. I do not criticize the book because I will not have done better but I find that the author will have done and then do better. and avoid some confusion.

I prefer to recommend the Bible and some website than to recommend this book to someone like me who is starting in the Christian religion.