

Jaider Angola

Professor Maret

PSY253

04/03/23

2.

- Naturalism emphasizes the natural aspects of the world and sees human life as having no other purpose other than the life we are all currently living, while supernaturalism emphasizes the existence of supernatural powers and beings, and sees human life almost like we all have some sort of destiny that was given to us ever since the day we were born. Personally, I see the main difference between naturalism and supernaturalism is just like comparing an atheist to any other person who believes there is a higher power in the universe. As for me, that would be my God.

3.

- Yes, I am a strong believer in this because there are many scientists who have given their lives to Christ due to their research. One who believes in science also believes in history, however only if there is evidence at hand. Therefore, when scientists discover a skeleton of fish and different sea creatures all over mountains, clearly depicting that the flood that God warned Noah about clearly happened. Even evidence that we can all see today that shows the exact location where Noah built the ark, and is measured to the exact inch, which is proving the

Biblical evidence. As well as discovering pieces of chariots at the very bottom of the red sea, when the pharaoh's army were chasing Moses and his people, he closed the red sea on them. This historical evidence was founded by scientists, and is all historical evidence that clearly backs the Bible.

8.

- These ethical ideals the author points out are related to the pursuit of integration because just as the author states, the pursuit of integration is the pursuit of developing purpose in your life. As well as creating new and healthy relationships in your life. Therefore, to love God with all our being and to love our neighbors as we love ourselves is a direct relation to the pursuit of integration.

15.

- A philosophical anthropologist that has these views on human nature can result in several implications. First, they won't be able to recognize the limits of a human, which means that us humans have a certain capacity so therefore we are not able to do everything/anything we wish. Another implication is a lack of responsibility for our actions. This means that they will have trouble understanding that we must live up to God's will, and despite our nature as sinners, we must follow what God has in store for us.

16.

- Pope Benedict XVI's claim "without God man neither knows which way to go, nor even understands who he is", has several implications. To begin with, the pope's statement suggests that psychology, as a scientific study of human behavior and mental processes, may have limitations in providing a comprehensive understanding of human nature. While psychology can provide insights into human behavior and mental processes, it may not be able to address questions of purpose that are tied to someone's spiritual beliefs.

18. Do you think that psychological and theological perspectives on philosophical anthropology are ultimately opposed to and irreconcilable with each other, or can they be reconciled by noting fruitful commonalities and complementary relationships between them? Defend your answer.

- Psychological and theological perspectives on philosophical anthropology can be reconciled by simply picking and choosing commonalities between them. Psychology offers valuable insights into human behavior and mental processes, while theology can offer a perspective that provides insights into questions that have purpose perhaps psychology may not be able to provide. Theological perspectives can be confusing sometimes and only through psychological perspectives can you understand how certain ideologies came to existence. Both psychological and theological perspectives have a direct relationship that without one another, neither can achieve its true potential.