

Stephanie Gabino

February 14, 2023

Chapter 2-

Question 1:

If I were Galileo I would spread what I have learned to others so that they would honestly know and understand the heliocentric universe and not what was told by others. I think Galileo recanted because he knew that the church held a lot of power that could be bad for him and his teachings. I also think that maybe he felt that his findings weren't ready to be shared with others and needed more time to do more research. The Church was probably treated cause they knew with this new information the power that the church was struggling to keep a hold of was going to decline drastically.

Question 2:

I think that the viewpoint that Medieval Scholasticism had on faith being superior does not exist today because as time has advanced and people have seen that science has proven that sometimes what the church has said wasn't factual and the church doesn't hold the power they had towards people now as they did before.

Question 5:

My studies can lead to a sense of awe and worship because they will get me closer to God and better understand what he has done for us his children and what he was trying to teach us as well. Also by learning this we can appreciate what he has sacrificed for us. An example of this is that

during my new testament classes hearing and reading the stories of what he had done for others, made me want to learn more and have a deeper connection and understanding with God.

Chapter 3

Question 11:

I've had encounters with people from many different denominations and from what I can tell is that many of the older generations of the Church are more closed-minded on Psychology and don't believe in psychology or anything that involves it. But newer generations can be more open and liberal on psychology, in some cases, they believe psychology to be a limitation. I think that historically the church has had a grasp on people and made them believe that faith is all above everything else, and that science is trying to erase God and everything the bible stated.

Question 14:

A foreign reaction to Physiotherapy was before the Vatican II making it seem like a sin in the eyes of God many Catholics were very open to clinical and experimental psychology. But The church was quick to stop those views, which led followers to stop thinking of psychology as good to a limit. I think the fact that the Church saw a large percentage of followers think of Psychology as an ok thing when it came to a medical view as a threat, and then claimed that it was a sin against God and made people stop thinking it was ok is concerning because it tells us that the Church just wanted to take control of people and let them think what the church wanted them to think.

Question 16:

The reactions to traditions are very different than the reaction to Psychology because the reactions to traditions are more positive and are valued higher than reactions to Psychology. Psychology reactions were harsh and not taken in a positive way, since the Church said that science is not morally correct and a sin to God.