What is the nature of the cosmos and the natural world? What constitutes and justifies proper social order? And what principles guide ethics, or individual decisions about right or wrong behavior?

I believe the nature of the cosmos and the natural world is the result of an infinite, monotheistic God. These are the results of parts of this God and exist because of an overflowing of its nature. It is part of this God's nature to birth life, and it has led to the universe as we know it.

Proper social order should be determined in a manner that works to collectively gain the best result possible regarding people's personal morals. For example, depending on one's belief regarding the nature of the cosmos and natural world, one may have moral convictions as to how people ought to act. This bleeds into the dominant social order, regardless of whether this could be considered "proper." In developing a proper social order, many countries have created governments to bring this about. A government should ideally serve a purpose in which each person receives fair rewards and consequences for their actions. The difficulty occurs when people disagree upon what is fair, and if a dominating view comes out that leads to the pain of another, then that view must be evaluated to come to a better outcome. I believe that the best way to develop a proper social order within government is to find a way in which everyone can come to a moral agreement regarding the treatment of others. I usually work off the theory that if a solution brings pain in the sense that it does not bring personal growth inherently, then that solution should be regarded as flawed and reevaluated.

Principles that guide ethics depend upon a lot of factors. It could be considered that we develop ethics based on how we receive rewards or what we would perceive as consequences. Our ethics are conditioned by our environment until we attempt to think through whether we consider those to be correct. Rational and personal growth can change our morals. Individuals can occasionally have similar morals on a large scale or a set of guidelines that outline their decisions within those guidelines. If one chooses to adopt thinking outside of an already established group though, it requires one to define their own morals and make ethical decisions based on that.