

Chapter 9 Quantitative Research Design

1

Key Features of **Quantitative** Research Design: Interventions

- Key questions
 - Will there be an **intervention**?
 - What **specific design** will be used?
- Broad design options
 - **Experimental** (randomized control trial)
 - **Quasi-experimental** (controlled trial without randomization)
 - Nonexperimental (observational study)

2

Key Features of Quantitative Research Design: Comparisons

- Key question
 - **What type of comparisons** will be made to illuminate relationships?
- Some design options
 - **Within-subjects design**: Same people are compared at different times or under different conditions.
 - **Between-subjects design**: Different people are compared (e.g., men and women).

Other Key Features of Quantitative Research Design

- **Control** over confounding variables
 - **How** will confounding variables be controlled?
 - Which **specific** confounding variables will be controlled?
 - Randomization, crossover, homogeneity, matching, statistical control

Other Key Features of Quantitative Research Design—(cont.)

- **Masking/blinding**
 - From whom will critical information be withheld to avert bias?
- **Time frames**
 - **How often** will data be collected?
 - **When**, relative to other events, will data be collected?
 - Cross-sectional, longitudinal design

Other Key Features of Quantitative Research Design—(cont.)

- **Relative timing**
 - When will information on independent and dependent variables be collected—looking forward or backward in time?
 - Retrospective (case-control), prospective (cohort)
- **Location**
 - **Where** will the study take place?
 - Setting choice; single site versus multisite

Question

Tell whether the following statement is True or False.

An experimental research design involves a nonrandomized controlled trial.

- a. True
- b. False

Answer

- b. False

Rationale: A quasi-experimental research design involves a controlled trial without randomization.

What is “Causality”?

- Many (if not most) quantitative research questions are about **causes and effects**.
- Research questions that seek to illuminate causal relationships need to be addressed with appropriate designs.

The Counterfactual Model of Causality

- A **counterfactual** is what would have happened to the same people exposed to a “cause” if they simultaneously were **not** exposed to the cause.
- An **effect** represents the difference between what actually did happen when exposed to the cause and what would happen with the counterfactual condition.

Criteria for Causality

- Three key criteria for making causal inferences
 - **Temporal**: The cause **must precede the effect** in time.
 - **Relationship**: There **must be a demonstrated association** between the cause and the effect.
 - **Confounder**: The relationship between the presumed cause and effect **cannot be explained by a third variable or confounder**; another factor related to both the presumed cause and effect cannot be the “real” cause.

Additional Criteria for Causality

- Additional criterion in health research
 - **Biologic plausibility**: The causal relationship should be consistent with evidence from basic physiologic studies.

Research Questions and Research Design

- Different designs are appropriate for different questions.
 - Therapy, prognosis, etiology/harm, and description
- Experimental designs (RCTs) offer the **strongest evidence of whether a cause (an intervention) results in an effect** (a desired outcome).
 - That's why they are high on evidence hierarchies for questions about causes and effects.

Experimental Design (has intervention)

- **Intervention**: The researcher does something to some subjects—introduces an **intervention** (or **treatment**).
- **Control**: The researcher introduces controls, including the use of a control group counterfactual.
- **Randomization**: The experimenter assigns participants to a control or experimental condition on a random basis.
 - The purpose is to make the groups equal with regard to **all other factors** except receipt of the intervention.

Question

Which characteristic is a key criterion for causality?

- a. Cause occurring before the effect
- b. Third variable involved with the cause and effect
- c. No empirical relationship between the cause and effect
- d. Single-source evidence about the relationship

Answer

- a. Cause occurring before the effect

Rationale: Three key criteria for causality include the following:

- The cause must precede the effect in time.
- There must be a demonstrated empirical relationship between the cause and effect.
- The relationship cannot be explained by a third variable.

An additional criterion is that evidence of the relationship should come from multiple sources.

Experimental Designs

- **Posttest-only (or after-only) design**

- Outcome data collected only after the intervention
- Symbolic representation:

R X O

R O

- R = Randomization; X = Receipt of intervention; O = Observation/measurement of dependent variable

Experimental Designs—(cont.)

- **Pretest–posttest (before–after) design**

- Outcome data collected both at **baseline** and after the intervention
- Symbolic representation:

R O X O

R O O

Experimental Designs—(cont.)

- **Crossover design**

- Subjects are exposed to 2+ conditions in random order.
- Subjects serve as their own control.
- Symbolic representation:

R	O	X _A	O	X _B	O
R	O	X _B	O	X _A	O

Experimental Condition

- Must be designed with sufficient intensity and duration that effects might reasonably be expected
- Researchers describe the intervention in formal *protocols* that stipulate exactly what the treatment is.
- Attention must be paid to **intervention fidelity** (or treatment fidelity), that is, whether the treatment as planned was actually delivered and received.

Control Group Conditions (Counterfactuals)

- No intervention is used; control group gets no treatment at all.
- “Usual care” or standard or normal procedures is used to treat patients.
- An alternative intervention is used (e.g., auditory vs. visual stimulation).
- A **placebo** or pseudointervention, presumed to have no therapeutic value, is used.
- Attention control condition and delayed treatment (wait-listed)

Control Group Conditions—(cont.)

- **Attention control**—extra attention but not the active ingredient of the intervention
- **Delayed treatment (“wait-listed controls”)**—the intervention is given at a later date.
 - Symbolic representation:

R	O	X	O		O
R	O		O	X	O

Advantages and Disadvantages of Experiments

- **Advantages**—most powerful for detecting cause and effect relationships
- **Disadvantages**—often not feasible or ethical, Hawthorne effect (knowledge of being in a study may cause people to change their behavior), often expensive

Question

Tell whether the following statement is True or False.

A true experiment requires that the researcher manipulate the independent variable by administering an experimental treatment (or intervention) to some subjects while withholding it from others.

- a. True
- b. False

Answer

a. True

Rationale: In a true experiment, the researcher manipulates or does something, usually an intervention or treatment, to some subjects and not to others.

Quasi-Experiments

- Involve an intervention but lack either randomization or control group
- Two main categories of quasi-experimental designs
 - Nonequivalent control group designs
 - Those getting the intervention are compared with a nonrandomized comparison group.
 - Within-subjects designs
 - One group is studied before and after the intervention.

Nonequivalent Control Group Designs

- If preintervention data are gathered, then the comparability of the experimental and comparison groups at the start of the study can be examined.
 - **Nonequivalent control group pretest–posttest design**
 - Symbolic representation:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} O_1 & X & O_2 \\ O_1 & & O_2 \end{array}$$

Nonequivalent Control Group Designs— (cont.)

- Without preintervention data, it is risky to assume the groups were similar at the outset.
 - **Nonequivalent control group posttest only** is **much** weaker.
 - Symbolic representation:

$$\begin{array}{cc} X & O_1 \\ & O_1 \end{array}$$

Within-Subjects Quasi-Experiments

- **One-group pretest–posttest designs** typically yield extremely weak evidence of causal relationships.
 - Symbolic representation:
 $O_1 X O_2$
- **Time-series designs** gather preintervention and postintervention data over a longer period.
 - Symbolic representation:
 $O_1 O_2 O_3 O_4 X O_5 O_6 O_7 O_8$

Advantages and Disadvantages of Quasi-Experiments

- May be easier and more practical than true experiments **but**
 - They make it more difficult to infer causality.
 - Usually there are several alternative **rival hypotheses** for results.

Question

Which design is considered a quasi-experimental research design?

- a. Pretest–posttest design
- b. Posttest-only design
- c. Crossover design
- d. Within-subjects design

Answer

- d. Within-subjects design

Rationale: Quasi-experimental research designs include nonequivalent control group and within-subjects designs. The other research designs are used for experimental research.

Nonexperimental Studies

- If researchers do not intervene by controlling independent variable, the study is nonexperimental (observational).
- Not all independent variables (“causes”) of interest to nurse researchers can be experimentally manipulated.
 - For example, gender cannot ever be manipulated.
 - Smoking cannot **ethically** be manipulated.

Types of Nonexperimental Studies

Correlational designs

- Cause-probing questions (e.g., prognosis or harm/etiology questions) for which manipulation is not possible are typically addressed with a **correlational design**.
- A **correlation** is an association between variables and can be detected through statistical analysis.
- Correlational studies are weaker than RCTs for cause-probing questions, but different designs offer varying degrees of supportive evidence.

Types of Nonexperimental Studies— (cont.)

- In a **prospective** correlational design, a potential **cause in the present** (e.g., experiencing vs. not experiencing a miscarriage) **is linked to a hypothesized later outcome** (e.g., depression 6 months later).
- This is called a **cohort study** by medical researchers.
- Prospective designs are stronger than retrospective designs in supporting causal inferences—but neither is as strong as experimental designs.

Retrospective Designs

- In a **retrospective** correlational design, an **outcome in the present** (e.g., depression) **is linked to a hypothesized cause occurring in the past** (e.g., having had a miscarriage).
- One retrospective design is a **case-control design** in which “cases” (e.g., those with lung cancer) are compared to “controls” (e.g., those without lung cancer) on prior potential causes (e.g., smoking habits).

Descriptive Research

- Not all research is cause probing.
- The purpose of **descriptive** studies is to **observe, describe**, and document aspects of a situation.
- Some research is **descriptive** (e.g., ascertaining the prevalence of a health problem).
- Other research is **descriptive correlational**—the purpose is to describe whether variables are related, without ascribing a cause-and-effect connection.

Advantages and Disadvantages of Nonexperimental Research

- **Disadvantage:** does not yield persuasive evidence for causal inferences
 - This is not a problem when the aim is description, but correlational studies are often undertaken to discover causes.
- **Advantage:** efficient way to collect large amounts of data when intervention and/or randomization is not possible

Time Dimension in Research Design

- **Cross-sectional design**—Data are collected at a **single point** in time.
- **Longitudinal design**—Data are collected two or more times **over an extended period**.
 - **Follow-up studies**
- Longitudinal designs are better at showing patterns of change and at clarifying whether a cause occurred before an effect (outcome).
- A challenge in longitudinal studies is **attrition** or the **loss of participants** over time.

Controlling the Study Context

- Controlling external factors (such as research context)
 - Achieving **constancy of conditions**
 - Control over environment, setting, time
 - Control over intervention via a formal protocol: **intervention fidelity**

Controlling Participant Factors

- Randomization
 - Subjects as own controls (crossover design)
- Homogeneity (restricting sample)
- Matching
- Statistical control (e.g., [analysis of covariance](#))

Characteristics of Good Quantitative Research Design

- [Statistical conclusion validity](#)—the ability to detect true relationships statistically
- [Internal validity](#)—the extent to which it can be inferred that the independent variable caused or influenced the dependent variable
- [External validity](#)—the generalizability of the observed relationships across samples, settings, or time
- [Construct validity](#)—the degree to which key constructs are adequately captured in the study

Question

Tell whether the following statement is True or False.

Cross-sectional research designs are helpful in showing patterns of change.

- a. True
- b. False

Answer

- b. False

Rationale: Longitudinal studies, in which data are collected two or more times over an extended period, are better at showing patterns of change than cross-sectional studies, which collect data at a single point in time.

Threats to Statistical Conclusion Validity

- Low statistical power (e.g., sample too small)
- Weakly defined “cause”—independent variable not powerful
- Unreliable implementation of a treatment—low intervention fidelity

Threats to Internal Validity

- Temporal ambiguity
- Selection threat—biases arising from preexisting differences between groups being compared
 - This is the single biggest threat to studies that do not use an experimental design.
- History threat—other events co-occurring with causal factor that could also affect outcomes
- Maturation threat—processes that result simply from the passage of time
- Mortality/attrition threat—differential loss of participants from different groups
 - Typically a threat in experimental studies

Threats to External Validity

- Inadequate sampling of study participants
- Unfortunately, enhancing internal validity can sometimes have adverse effects on external validity.

Threats to Construct Validity

- Is the intervention a good representation of the underlying construct?
- Is it the intervention or awareness of the intervention that resulted in benefits?
- Does the dependent variable really measure the intended constructs?