

Rubric for Asynchronous Discussion Participation

②

Name: <i>Nicole Steele</i>	Date Graded:	Week # 3	Substantive Post Due: 9/10/11 @ 12:00pm noon	Substantive Post Date: 9/8/11	Time: 5:40	AM PM	Total Score <u>18 / 18</u> 100 %	
			Responses Due: 9/12/11 @ 12:00pm noon	Last Response Post Date:	Time:	AM PM		
RESPONSES # Students Responded to: 2 <i>Alicia 9/8 5:17pm</i> <i>9/8 9:43pm</i> <i>hori 9/11 10:20am</i>		Substantive Post minimum 200 words: 616 <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	Comments: <i>Rubric edited to reflect reduced expectations for discussion this week since Essay Question also due. Was Scavenger Hunt completed for 2 bonus points? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No</i>					but early post! 2nd person to post. This got!!
Any post-deadline response entries? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> NO		# of Days Participating: 2	Well written, complete, substantive post. Good use of references to make a point re: pt. advocacy. It appears you only reviewed one of the items on the Scavenger Hunt, but you met the assignment tasks. Excellent responses - concept-based, furthered and enriched discussion. Keep up the Good Work!!					

Criteria	Unacceptable 0 Points	Acceptable 1 Point	Good 2 Points	Excellent 3 Points	Points
Frequency <i>(excluding Substantive Post)</i>	Participates not at all. No posts at all.	Only posts substantive assignment. No responses to classmates.	Participates on one (1) day only (substantive and responses)	Participates on two (2) or more different days (substantive and responses)	3
Initial Assignment Posting <i>(Substantive Post)</i>	Posts no assignment.	Posts adequate assignment with superficial thought and preparation; doesn't address all aspects of the task.	Posts well developed assignment that addresses all aspects of the task; lacks full development of concepts.	Posts well developed assignment that fully addresses and develops all aspects of the task.	3
Follow-Up Postings	Posts no follow-up responses to classmates.	Responds to only one (1) other classmate.	Responds to only one (1) classmate.	Responds to a minimum of two (2) other students' posts.	3
Content Contribution	Posts information that is off-topic, incorrect, or irrelevant to discussion.	Posts shallow contribution to discussion (e.g., agrees or disagrees); does not enrich discussion. Repeats. No supporting application of concepts.	Elaborates on an existing post with further comment or observation that is meaningful. Lacks full development of concept with limited or irrelevant supporting concepts.	Demonstrates analysis of others' posts; extends meaningful discussion by applying relevant concepts. Advances discussion.	3
References & Support	Includes no references or supporting experience.	Uses personal experience, but no references to readings or research.	Incorporates one reference from literature. May lack relevance. May lack proper APA format in citing.	Uses relevant reference(s) to literature, readings, or personal experience, to support comments.	3
Clarity & Mechanics	Posts long, unorganized or rude content that may contain multiple errors or may be inappropriate.	Communicates in friendly, courteous and helpful manner with some errors in clarity or mechanics.	Contributes valuable information to discussion with minor clarity or mechanics errors.	Contributes to discussion with clear, concise comments formatted in an easy to read style that is free of grammatical or spelling errors.	3