

Rubric for Asynchronous Discussion Participation

Name: <i>Sheila Roth</i>		Date Graded: <i>10/9/11</i>	Week #: 4	Substantive Post Due: <i>9/16/11 @ 11:59pm</i>	Substantive Post Date: <i>9/16/11</i> Time: <i>9:36</i> AM PM	Total Score <i>17.5/18</i> <i>97%</i>	
				Responses Due: <i>9/18/11 @ 11:59pm</i>	Last Response Post Date: _____ Time: _____ AM PM		
RESPONSES # Students Responded to: <i>Nicole x2, All Rachel Alicia Rachel</i>		Substantive Post minimum 200 words: <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	Comments: Were learning activities completed for BONUS points? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <i>Professionally written and essentially error free. Very thorough presentation of concept, but lacks application to case study in several areas. Your responses ... WOW!! On target - supportive references - further discussion ~ Exceeds Expectation!! loved your response post re: technology working against us.</i>			Bonus Pts: _____	
Subst. Post Deadline Met	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO	# of Days Participating: <i>9/16, 9/17, 9/18</i>	<i>is a joy to read. Thank You!!</i>				
Response Post Deadline Met	<input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO						

Criteria	Unacceptable 0 Points	Acceptable 1 Point	Good 2 Points	Excellent 3 Points	Points
Frequency	Participates not at all. No posts at all.	Only posts substantive assignment. No responses to classmates.	Participates on one (1) day only (substantive and responses)	Participates on two (2) or more different days (substantive and responses)	<i>3</i>
Initial Assignment Posting <i>(Substantive Post)</i>	Posts no assignment.	Posts adequate assignment with superficial thought and preparation; doesn't address all aspects of the task.	Posts well developed assignment that addresses all aspects of the task; lacks full development of concepts.	Posts well developed assignment that fully addresses and develops all aspects of the task.	<i>2.5</i>
Follow-Up Postings	Posts no follow-up responses to classmates.	Responds to only one (1) other classmate.	Responds to only one (1) classmate.	Responds to a minimum of two (2) other students' posts.	<i>3</i>
Content Contribution	Posts information that is off-topic, incorrect, or irrelevant to discussion.	Posts shallow contribution to discussion (e.g., agrees or disagrees); does not enrich discussion. Repeats. No supporting application of concepts.	Elaborates on an existing post with further comment or observation that is meaningful. Lacks full development of concept with limited or irrelevant supporting concepts.	Demonstrates analysis of others' posts; extends meaningful discussion by applying relevant concepts. Advances discussion.	<i>3</i>
References & Support	Includes no references or supporting experience.	Uses personal experience, but no references to readings or research.	Incorporates one reference from literature. May lack relevance. May lack proper APA format in citing.	Uses relevant reference(s) to literature, readings, or personal experience, to support comments.	<i>3</i>
Clarity & Mechanics	Posts long, unorganized or rude content that may contain multiple errors or may be inappropriate.	Communicates in friendly, courteous and helpful manner with some errors in clarity or mechanics.	Contributes valuable information to discussion with minor clarity or mechanics errors.	Contributes to discussion with clear, concise comments formatted in an easy to read style that is free of grammatical or spelling errors.	<i>3</i>