

N324: Older Adult Health
Rubric for Discussion Question Responses

| | | | |
|---|--------------|---------|---|
| Name: | Date Graded: | Week #: | Deadline for Substantive Post met? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Substantive Post meets minimum 150-word requirement: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | Comments: | | |

| Criteria | Unacceptable 0 Points | Acceptable 1 Point | Good 2 Points | Excellent 3 Points | Points |
|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|---|--------|
| Initial Assignment Posting | Posts no assignment. | Posts adequate assignment with superficial thought and preparation; does not address all aspects of the task. | Posts well developed assignment that addresses all aspects of the task; lacks full development of concepts. | Posts well developed assignment that fully addresses and develops all aspects of the task. | |
| Content Contribution | Posts information that is off-topic, incorrect, or irrelevant to discussion. | Repeats but does not add substantive information to the discussion. | The posts information that is factually correct; lacks full development of concept or thought. | Posts factually correct, reflective, and substantive contribution. | |
| References & Support | Includes no references or supporting experience. More than 5 APA errors including format in citing | Uses personal experience, but no references to readings or research. 3-4 APA errors including format in citing. Reference is older than 5 years old. | Incorporates 1 research article reference from literature. The source may lack relevance. 1-2 APA errors including format in citing. | Uses 2 research articles to support comments. APA format is correct. Reference is less than 5 years old. | |
| Clarity & Mechanics | Posts inappropriate, unorganized or rude content or contains 5 or more grammatical or spelling errors. | Communicates in friendly, courteous and helpful manner with 3-4 grammatical or spelling errors | Contributes valuable information to discussion with minor clarity or 1-2 grammatical or spelling errors | Contributes to discussion with clear, concise comments formatted in an easy-to-read style that is free of grammatical or spelling errors. | |

Rubric for Peer Discussion Post

| | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|---|
| Name: | Date Graded: | Week #: | Deadline for Substantive Post met? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Substantive Post meets minimum 75-word requirement: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | | | |

| Criteria | Unacceptable 0 Points | Acceptable 0.25 Point | Good 0.5 Points | Excellent 1 Points | Points |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--------|
| Peer Assignment Posting | Posts no assignment. | Posts adequate assignment with superficial thought and preparation; does not address all aspects of the task. | Posts well developed assignment that addresses all aspects of the task; lacks full development of concepts. | Posts well developed assignment that fully addresses and develops all aspects of the task. | |
| Content Contribution | Posts information that is off-topic, incorrect, or irrelevant to discussion. | Repeats but does not add substantive information to the discussion. | The posts information that is factually correct; lacks full development of concept or thought. | Posts factually correct, reflective, and substantive contribution. | |
| References & Support | Includes no references or supporting experience. More than 5 APA errors including format in citing | Uses personal experience, but no references to readings or research. 3-4 APA errors including format in citing. Reference is older than 5 years old. | Incorporates 1 research article reference from literature. The source may lack relevance. 1-2 APA errors including format in citing. | Uses 2 research articles to support comments. APA format is correct. Reference is less than 5 years old. | |
| Clarity & Mechanics | Posts inappropriate, unorganized or rude content or contains 5 or more grammatical or spelling errors. | Communicates in friendly, courteous and helpful manner with 3-4 grammatical or spelling errors. Did not list peer name within post. | Contributes valuable information to discussion with minor clarity or 1-2 grammatical or spelling errors. Did not list peer name within post. | Contributes to discussion with clear, concise comments formatted in an easy-to-read style that is free of grammatical or spelling errors. Name of peer listed within the post. | |

Rubric for Peer Discussion Post

| | | | |
|--|--------------|---------|---|
| Name: | Date Graded: | Week #: | Deadline for Substantive Post met? <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO |
| Substantive Post meets minimum 75-word requirement: <input type="checkbox"/> YES <input type="checkbox"/> NO | | | |

| Criteria | Unacceptable 0 Points | Acceptable 0.25 Point | Good 0.5 Points | Excellent 1 Points | Points |
|---------------------------------|--|--|--|--|--------|
| Peer Assignment Posting | Posts no assignment. | Posts adequate assignment with superficial thought and preparation; does not address all aspects of the task. | Posts well developed assignment that addresses all aspects of the task; lacks full development of concepts. | Posts well developed assignment that fully addresses and develops all aspects of the task. | |
| Content Contribution | Posts information that is off-topic, incorrect, or irrelevant to discussion. | Repeats but does not add substantive information to the discussion. | The posts information that is factually correct; lacks full development of concept or thought. | Posts factually correct, reflective, and substantive contribution. | |
| References & Support | Includes no references or supporting experience. More than 5 APA errors including format in citing | Uses personal experience, but no references to readings or research. 3-4 APA errors including format in citing. Reference is older than 5 years old. | Incorporates 1 research article reference from literature. The source may lack relevance. 1-2 APA errors including format in citing. | Uses 2 research articles to support comments. APA format is correct. Reference is less than 5 years old. | |
| Clarity & Mechanics | Posts inappropriate, unorganized or rude content or contains 5 or more grammatical or spelling errors. | Communicates in friendly, courteous and helpful manner with 3-4 grammatical or spelling errors. Did not list peer name within post. | Contributes valuable information to discussion with minor clarity or 1-2 grammatical or spelling errors. Did not list peer name within post. | Contributes to discussion with clear, concise comments formatted in an easy-to-read style that is free of grammatical or spelling errors. Name of peer listed within the post. | |