

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL PERFORMANCE TOOL
Advanced Medical Surgical Nursing- 2025

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Sandusky, Ohio

Student:

Final Grade: Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory

Semester: Spring

Date of Completion:

Faculty: Frances Brennan, MSN, RN; Amy M. Rockwell, MSN, RN
Chandra Barnes, MSN, RN; Brian Seitz, MSN, RN, CNE
Brittany Lombardi, MSN, RN, CNE

Faculty eSignature:

DIRECTIONS FOR USE:

Each week the students evaluate themselves based on the competencies using the performance code on page 2. The performance code includes the terms **Satisfactory (S), Needs Improvement (NI), Unsatisfactory (U), and Not Available (NA)**. The faculty member will initial if in agreement with the student's evaluation. If there is a discrepancy in the performance code evaluation between the student and the faculty member, a note is written in the comment section by the faculty member with the rationale for the evaluation. All competencies must be rated a "S, NI, U, or NA". If the student does not self-rate, then it is an automatic "U". A student who submits the clinical evaluation tool late will be rated as "U" in the appropriate competency(s) for that clinical week. Whenever a student receives a "U" in a competency, it must be addressed with a comment as to why it is no longer a "U" the following week. If the student does not state why the "U" is corrected, it will be another "U" until the student addresses it. All clinical competencies are critical to meeting the objectives of the course. **An unsatisfactory or needs improvement as a final evaluation in any single competency results in a clinical course grade of unsatisfactory; this is a failure of the course. Patterns of performance over the course of the semester will be utilized to determine the final competency evaluations. The terms Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory will be used in evaluating the final grade or clinical course performance.**

METHODS OF EVALUATION:

Clinical Assignments
Completion of Patient Care
Meditech Documentation
Observation of Clinical Performance
Evaluation of Clinical Performance Tool
Onsite Clinical Debriefing
Clinical Discussion Rubric
Preceptor Feedback
Nursing Care Map Rubric
Skills Lab Checklists/Competency Tool
Lasater Clinical Judgment Rubric
Virtual Simulation scenarios
Pathophysiology Grading Rubric
SBAR/Physician Orders Rubric
Hand-Off Report Competency Rubric

ABSENCE (Refer to Attendance Policy)

Date	Number of Hours	Comments	Make Up (Date/Time)
1/31/2025	1H	Didn't complete Scav Hunt survey	1/31/2025 1H
Initials	Faculty Name		
CB	Chandra Barnes, MSN, RN		
FB	Fran Brennan, MSN, RN		
BL	Brittany Lombardi, MSN, RN, CNE		
AR	Amy Rockwell, MSN, RN		
BS	Brian Seitz, MSN, RN, CNE		

PERFORMANCE CODE

SATISFACTORY CLINICAL PERFORMANCE

Satisfactory (S): Safe; accurate each time, efficient, coordinated; confident, focuses on the patient; some expenditure of excess energy; within a reasonable time period; appropriate affective behavior; occasional supporting cues; minimal faculty feedback needed related to written clinical work.

UNSATISFACTORY CLINICAL PERFORMANCE

Needs Improvement (NI): Safe, accurate each time, skillful in parts of behavior, focuses more on the skill and self rather than the patient, inefficient, uncoordinated, anxious, worried, and flustered at times, expends excess energy within a delayed time period, frequent verbal and occasional physical directive cues in addition to supportive cues, faculty feedback required in several areas of clinical written work.

Unsatisfactory (U): Failure to achieve the course competency, safe but needs faculty reminders constantly, not always accurate, unskilled, inefficient, considerable expenditure of excess energy, anxious, disruptive or omitting behaviors, focus on skills and/or self, continuous verbal and frequent physical cues, unsafe, performs at risk to patient/clients/others, unable to function, incomplete, erroneous, faulty, illegible clinical written work, no feedback sought from faculty or response to feedback not evident in submitted written work. If the student does not self-rate a competency the competency is graded “U.” A “U” in a competency must be addressed in writing by the student in the Evaluation of Clinical Performance tool. The student response must include how the competency has been or will be met at a satisfactory level. If the student does not address the “U”, the faculty member (s) will continue to rate the competency unsatisfactory.

OTHER

Not Available (NA): The clinical experience which would meet the competency was not available.

Grey shaded weekly competency boxes do not need a student evaluation rating or faculty/teaching assistant initials.

Objective

1. Engage in the coordination and delivery of nursing care measures to groups of patients and to patients with complex problems. (1,3,4,5,7,8)*

Weeks of Course:	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Make up	Mid-term	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Make up	Final
Competencies:	NA	NA	NA	S														
a. Manage complex patient care situations with evidence of preparation and organization. (Responding)																		
b. Assess comprehensively as indicated by patient needs and circumstances. (Noticing)	S	NA	NA	S														
c. Evaluate patient's response to nursing interventions. (Reflecting)	S	NA	NA S	S														
d. Interpret cardiac rhythm; determine rate and measurements. (Interpreting)	NA	NA	NA	S														
e. Administer medications observing the seven rights of medication administration. (Responding)	NA S	NA	NA	S														
f. Perform venipuncture skill with beginning dexterity and evidence of preparation. (Responding)	S	NA	NA	NA														
g. Respond appropriately to equipment alarms; IV pumps, ECG monitors, ventilators, etc. (Responding)	NA	NA	NA	S														
Faculty Initials	AR	AR	AR															
Clinical Location	DH AND QC	NA	PD	PM														

Comments:

Week 2 (1e,f)- Great job with several successful IV attempts, appropriate technique was demonstrated. FB

Week 4 (1c)- Satisfactory during Patient Advocate/Discharge Planner clinical and with discussion via CDG posting. Preceptor comments: "Excellent in all areas." Great job. AR

Clinical Judgment Terminology: Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Objective

2. Formulate nursing care plans, correlations, or clinical reports that demonstrate patient-centered care of diverse populations, evidence-based practice, and clinical judgment. (1,2,3,4,5,8)*

Weeks of Course:	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Make up	Mid-term	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Make up	Final
Competencies:	S	NA	NA	S														
a. Correlate relationships among disease process, patient's history, patient symptoms, and present condition utilizing clinical judgment skills. (Noticing, Interpreting, Responding)																		
b. Monitor for potential risks and anticipate possible early complications. (Noticing, Interpreting, Responding)	NA	NA	S	S														
c. Recognize changes in patient status and take appropriate action. (Noticing, Interpreting, Responding)	NA	NA	NA	S														
d. Formulate a prioritized nursing plan of care utilizing clinical judgment skills. (Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting) *	NA	NA	NA	NA														
e. Respect patient and family perspectives, values, and diversity when planning, giving, and adapting care. (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
Faculty Initials	AR	AR	AR															

***When completing the 4T Care Map CDG refer to the Care Map Rubric**

Comments:

Clinical Judgment Terminology: Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Objective

3. Plan leadership experiences with a mentor to impact team performance, patient safety, and quality indicators. (1,3,5,7,8)*

Weeks of Course:	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Make up	Mid-term	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Make up	Final
Competencies:	NA	NA	NA	S														
a. Critique communication barriers among team members. (Interpreting)																		
b. Participate in QI, core measures, monitoring standards and documentation. (Interpreting & Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
c. Discuss strategies to achieve fiscal responsibility in clinical practice. (Responding)	NA	NA	NA S	S														
d. Clarify roles & accountability of team members related to delegation. (Noticing)	NA	NA	NA	S														
e. Determine the priority patient from assigned patient population. (Interpreting) (Patient Mgmt.)	NA	NA	NA	S														
Faculty Initials	AR	AR	AR															

Comments:

Week 2 (3b)- Satisfactory during Quality Assurance/Core Measures observation and with discussion via CDG posting. Keep up the good work. AR

Week 4 (3b,c)- Satisfactory during Quality Scavenger Hunt clinical, with documentation, and with CDG posting. Great job. AR

Clinical Judgment Terminology: Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Objective

4. 4. Plan for a future in the nursing profession by analyzing information concerning employment, licensure, ethical, and legal issues in nursing focusing on accountability and respecting patient autonomy. (1,2,4,5,7)*

Weeks of Course:	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Make up	Mid-term	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Make up	Final
Competencies:	S	NA	S	S														
a. Critique examples of legal or ethical issues observed in the clinical setting. (Interpreting)																		
b. Engage with patients and families to make autonomous decisions regarding healthcare. (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
c. Exhibit professional behavior in appearance, responsibility, integrity and respect. (Responding)	S	NA	S U	S														
Faculty Initials	AR	AR	AR															

Objective 4a: Provide a comment for the highlighted competency each week. If no clinical experiences, put "NA" for that week.

Comments:

Week 2: Although I did not witness any examples of legal issues, a few do come to mind when thinking about it. For example, not gaining consent for a procedure, like digestive health, could be a legal issue. I noticed today that once the doctors got behind in their cases, they seemed to be in a rush and did not spend as much time explaining the procedure to their patients. If gaining consent were to be forgotten, they could potentially do the procedure without it and risk something happening to the patient during it. **This is a great example and fitting for the Digestive Health setting. AR**

Week 4- While with the patient advocate, I had the opportunity to listen to a phone call that could have easily turned into a malpractice legal issue. The lady reported a bad experience in the ER with one of the doctors. She stated that they had a hard time getting an IV in her and when she asked for a break the doctor told her "Fine, then just leave." And discharged her. She then went to Fisher Titus, and they discovered that her liver was bleeding, and she needed emergency surgery. If anything were to of happened to this patient after the doctor discharged her from the ER saying she was fit to go home, the patient could have suffered major consequences. I believe the doctor was jeopardizing the patient's wellbeing by being frustrated with her, which could have easily jeopardized his license in return. **Thank you for sharing. This is a definite problem and as you said, could have resulted in legal implications (not to mention the ethical issues involved in treating a patient that way). AR**

Week 4 (4c)- You have received an unsatisfactory evaluation for this competency due to not completing the Quality Scavenger Hunt survey by the due date and time. Be sure to follow the instructions on pgs 1-2 of this tool regarding how to correctly address the "U" for your Week 5 tool. **AR**

Week 5- One ethical issue that could occur on 4 North is when delegation of a task occurs. If a PCT performs a task that they are not allowed to do, it could easily turn into a legal issue. The PCT could do it wrong and cause serious harm to the patients or themselves. It is important that the PCT and nurses know what is in their scope of practices and stay within the realm.

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Unsatisfactory- I received an unsatisfactory last week for not turning in my scavenger hunt survey. I correct it this week by making sure I did all assigned tasks and turned them in in an appropriate manner.

Clinical Judgment Terminology: Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting

Objective																		
5. Construct methods for self-reflection and critiquing healthcare systems, processes, practices and regulations on a weekly basis. (7,8)*																		
Weeks of Course:	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Make up	Mid-term	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Make up	Final
Competencies:	S	NA	S	S														
a. Reflect on your overall performance in the clinical area for the week. (Responding)																		
b. Demonstrate initiative in seeking new learning opportunities. (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
c. Describe factors that create a culture of safety (error reporting, communication, & standardization, etc. (Interpreting)	S	NA	S	S														
d. Maintain the principles of asepsis and standard/infection control precautions (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
e. Practice use of standardized EBP tools that support safety and quality. (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
f. Utilize faculty feedback to improve clinical performance. (Responding & Reflecting)	S	NA	S	S														
Faculty Initials	AR	AR	AR															

Comments:

Week 2 (5c)- Satisfactory discussion via CDG posting related to your Quality Assurance/Core Measures observation. Keep up the good work. AR

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Clinical Judgment Terminology: Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting

Objective																		
6. Engage with members of the healthcare team, patients, families, faculty, and peers through written, verbal and nonverbal methods, and by utilizing computer technology. (1,2,6,7,8)*																		
Weeks of Course:	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Make up	Mid-term	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Make up	Final
Competencies:	S	NA	S	S														
a. Establish collaborative partnerships with patients, families, and coworkers. (Responding)																		
b. Teach patients and families based on readiness to learn and discharge learning needs. (Interpreting & Responding)	NA	NA	NA	S														
c. Collaborate and communicate with members of the healthcare team, patients, and families to achieve optimal patient outcomes. (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
d. Deliver effective and concise hand-off reports. (Responding) *	NA	NA	NA	S														
e. Document interventions and medication administration correctly in the electronic medical record. (Responding)	NA	NA	NA	S														
f. Consistently and appropriately posts in clinical discussion groups. (Responding and Reflecting)	S	NA	S	S														

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Faculty Initials	AR	AR	AR															
------------------	----	----	----	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

***When completing 4T Hand-Off Report see 4T Hand- Off Competency Rubric**

Comments:

Week 2 (6f)- Satisfactory CDG posting related to your Quality Assurance/Core Measures observation, while following the CDG grading rubric. Good job. AR

Week 4 (6c,f)- Satisfactory CDG postings related to your Quality Scavenger Hunt and Patient Advocate/Discharge Planner clinical experiences. Keep up the great work. AR

Clinical Judgment Terminology: Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting

Objective

7. Devise methods utilized by nursing to develop the profession, advance the knowledge base, ensure accountability, and improve the outcomes of care delivery. (1,3,4,6,7,8)*

Weeks of Course:	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	Make up	Mid-term	9	10	11	12	13	14	15	Make up	Final
Competencies:	S	NA	S	S														
a. Value the need for continuous improvement in clinical practice based on evidence. (Responding)																		
b. Accountable for investigating evidence-based practice to improve patient outcomes. (Responding)	S	NA	NA S	S														
c. Comply with the FRMCSN "Student Code of Conduct Policy." (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
d. Incorporate the core values of caring, diversity, excellence, integrity, and "ACE"- attitude, commitment, and enthusiasm during all clinical interactions. (Responding)	S	NA	S	S														
Faculty Initials	AR	AR	AR															

Comments:

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Clinical Judgment Terminology: Noticing, Interpreting, Responding, Reflecting

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Care Map Grading Rubric

Student Name:				Course Objective: Formulate nursing care plans, correlations, or clinical reports that demonstrate patient-centered care of diverse populations, evidence-based practice, and clinical judgment.			
Date or Clinical Week:							
Criteria		3	2	1	0	Points Earned	Comments
Noticing	1. Identify all abnormal assessment findings (subjective and objective); include specific patient data.	(lists at least 7*) *provides explanation if < 7	(lists 5-6)	(lists 5-7 but no specific patient data included)	(lists < 5 or gives no explanation)		
	2. Identify all abnormal lab findings/diagnostic tests; include specific patient data.	(lists at least 3*) *provides explanation if < 3		(lists 3 but no specific patient data included)	(lists < 3 or gives no explanation)		
	3. Identify all risk factors relevant to the patient.	(lists at least 5*) *provides explanation if < 5	(lists 4)	(lists 3)	(lists < 3 or gives no explanation)		
Interpreting	4. List all nursing priorities and highlight the top priority problem.	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	5. State the goal for the top nursing priority.	Complete			Not complete		
	6. Highlight all of the related/relevant data from the Noticing boxes that support the top priority nursing problem.	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	7. Identify all potential complications for the top nursing priority problem.	(lists at least 3)	(lists 2)		(lists < 2)		
	8. Identify signs and symptoms to monitor for each complication.	(lists at least 3)	(lists 2)		(lists < 2)		
Responding	9. List all nursing interventions relevant to the top nursing priority.	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	10. Interventions are prioritized	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	11. All interventions include a frequency	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	12. All interventions are individualized and realistic	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

Criteria		3	2	1	0	Points Earned	Comments
	13. An appropriate rationale is included for each intervention	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
Reflecting	14. List all of the highlighted reassessment findings for the top nursing priority.	>75% complete	50-75% complete	<50% complete	0% complete		
	15. Evaluation includes one of the following statements: - Continue plan of care - Modify plan of care - Terminate plan of care	Complete			Not complete		
Reference An in-text citation and reference are required. The care map will be graded “needs improvement” if missing either the in-text citation or reference, but not both. The care map will be graded “unsatisfactory” if both in-text citation and reference are not included.							
Total Possible Points= 45 points 45-35 points = Satisfactory 34-23 points = Needs Improvement* < 23 points = Unsatisfactory* *Total points adding up to less than or equal to 34 points require revision and resubmission until Satisfactory. Refer to the course specific requirements for resubmission deadlines. ***Students that are not satisfactory after 2 attempts will be required to meet with course faculty for remediation. *** Faculty/Teaching Assistant Comments:						Total Points:	
						Faculty/Teaching Assistant Initials:	

Care Map Evaluation Tool**
 AMSN
 2025

Date	Nursing Priority Problem	Evaluation & Instructor Initials	Remediation & Instructor Initials

** AMSN students are required to submit one satisfactory care map (CDG) during the 3-week 4T clinical rotation. If the care map is not evaluated as satisfactory upon initial submission, the student has one opportunity to revise the care map based on instructor feedback. **Students that are not satisfactory after these 2 attempts will be required to meet with course faculty for remediation.**

Comments:

Pathophysiology Grading Rubric
Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Advanced Medical Surgical Nursing
2025

Student Name:

Clinical Date:

1. Provide a description of your patient including current diagnosis and past medical history. (4 points total) - Current Diagnosis (2) - Past Medical History (2)	Total Points: Comments:
2. Describe the pathophysiology of your patient's current diagnosis. (6 points total) Pathophysiology-what is happening in the body at the cellular level (6)	Total Points: Comments:
3. Correlate the patient's current diagnosis with presenting signs and symptoms. (6 points total) - All patient's signs and symptoms included (2) - Explanation of what signs and symptoms are typically expected with this current diagnosis (Do these differ from what your patient presented with?) (2) - Explanation of how all patient's signs and symptoms correlate with current diagnosis. (2)	Total Points: Comments:
4. Correlate the patient's current diagnosis with all related labs. (12 points total) - All patient's relevant lab result values included (3) - Rationale provided for each lab test performed (3) - Explanation provided of what a normal lab result should be in the absence of current diagnosis (3) - Explanation of how each of the patient's relevant lab result values correlate with current diagnosis (3)	Total Points: Comments:
5. Correlate the patient's current diagnosis with all related diagnostic tests. (12 points total) - All patient's relevant diagnostic tests and results included (3) - Rationale provided for each diagnostic test performed (3) - Explanation provided of what a normal diagnostic test result would be in the absence of current diagnosis (3) - Explanation of how each of the patient's relevant diagnostic test results correlate with current diagnosis (3)	Total Points: Comments:
6. Correlate the patient's current diagnosis with all related	Total Points:

medications. (9 points total) • All related medications included (3) • Rationale provided for the use of each medication (3) • Explanation of how each of the patient's relevant medications correlate with current diagnosis (3)	Comments:
7. Correlate the patient's current diagnosis with all pertinent past medical history. (4 points total) • All pertinent past medical history included (2) • Explanation of how patient's pertinent past medical history correlates with current diagnosis (2)	Total Points: Comments:
8. Prioritize nursing interventions related to current diagnosis. (6 points total) • All nursing interventions provided for patient prioritized and rationales provided (6)	Total Points: Comments:
9. Discuss the role of interdisciplinary team members in the care of the patient. (6 points total) • Identifies all interdisciplinary team members currently involved in the care of the patient (2) • Explains how each current interdisciplinary team member contributes to positive patient outcomes (2) • Identifies additional interdisciplinary team members (not involved currently) that should be included in the care of the patient to ensure positive patient outcomes (2)	Total Points: Comments:
Total possible points = 65 51-65 = Satisfactory < 51 = Unsatisfactory Course Objective: 2. Formulate nursing care plans, correlations, or clinical reports that demonstrate patient-centered care of diverse populations, evidence-based practice, and clinical judgment. (1,2,3,4,5,8)* Clinical Competency: 2(a.) Correlate relationships among disease process, patient's history, patient symptoms, and present condition utilizing clinical judgment skills. (Noticing, Interpreting, Responding) *End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes	Total Points: Comments:

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing

AMSN –4 Tower - Hand-Off Report Competency Rubric

Faculty: Brittany Lombardi, MSN, RN, CNE; Brian Seitz, MSN, RN, CNE; Chandra Barnes, MSN, RN

Student Name: _____ Date: _____

Must complete satisfactorily during 4 Tower debriefing.

23-30 points = Satisfactory	< 23 points = Unsatisfactory
-----------------------------	------------------------------

CRITERIA

	Meets Expectations 5	Needs Improvement 3	Does Not Meet Expectations 0	POINTS
Introduction Safety (1,2)*	Introduction provided (includes patient name, room number etc.). Provides socioeconomic factors (e.g. social support), allergies, and alerts (falls, isolation, etc.)	Provides introduction and communicates most of the safety concerns of the patient.	Does not provide introduction and/or does not address the safety concerns of the patient.	
Situation (3)*	Presents chief complaint and current status (including code status, recent changes, and response to treatment).	Presents most information but missing pertinent data e.g. current status, changes etc.	Information is incomplete and/or disorganized. Not possible to understand and obtain an adequate and clear picture of the patient's situation.	
Background (4)*	Provides detailed and organized background information regarding presenting diagnosis and signs/symptoms; includes pertinent past medical and surgical history.	Provides background information but information disorganized and difficult to understand. Missing some information related to past medical and surgical history.	Background information is incomplete and/or inaccurate. Missing pertinent information related to past medical and surgical history	
Assessment Laboratory/Diagnostic Testing (5)*	Provides clear, concise, pertinent assessment information e.g. vital signs, cardiac assessment, respiratory assessment. Communicates pertinent laboratory and diagnostic information and relates findings to current diagnosis/presentation.	Provides assessment information but material is disorganized. Communicates laboratory and diagnostic findings but information is not specific. Example: states hemoglobin is low without stating specific number or why it is abnormal.	Assessment information is incomplete and needs improvement. Does not communicate findings in a way that can be understood.	
Actions (4,5)*	Explains interventions performed or required. Provides rationale.	Explains interventions performed/required but does not provide rationales.	Does not include all interventions performed and does not provide rationales.	
Communication Prioritization (1,4,5,6)*	Communicates and prioritizes any outstanding patient issues and the plan of care. Example: patient having change in mental status - would explain CT ordered. Includes patient teaching provided.	Communicates all information but is slightly disorganized in presentation.	Overall communication of hand-off report needs improvement. Incomplete report and/or disorganized in presentation	
			TOTAL POINTS	

Faculty Comments: _____

Faculty Signature: _____ **Date:** _____

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Advanced Medical Surgical Nursing 2025
Simulation Evaluations

<u>Simulation Evaluation</u> Performance Codes: S: Satisfactory U: Unsatisfactory	Rachael Heidebrink (Pharmacology) (1, 2, 6, 7)*	Week 8: Dysrhythmia Simulation (see rubric) (1, 2, 3, 5, 6, 7)	Junetta Cooper (Pharmacology) (1, 2, 6, 7)*	Mary Richards (Pharmacology) (1, 2, 6, 7)*	Lloyd Bennett (Medical-Surgical) (1, 2, 6, 7)*	Kenneth Bronson (Medical-Surgical) (1, 2, 6, 7)*	Carl Shapiro (Pharmacology) (1, 2, 6, 7)*	Comprehensive Simulation (see rubric) (1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7)
	Date: 2/14/2025	Date: 2/24-25/2025	Date: 2/28/2025	Date: 3/14/2025	Date: 3/21/2025	Date: 3/27/2025	Date: 4/7/2025	Date: 4/7/2025
Evaluation								
Faculty Initials								
Remediation: Date/Evaluation/ Initials								

* Course Objectives

Comments:

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing

Skills Lab Evaluation Tool
AMSN
2025

Skills Lab Competency Evaluation Performance Codes: S: Satisfactory U: Unsatisfactory	Lab Skills									
	Meditech Document (1,2,3,4,5,6)*	Physician Orders/SBAR (1,2,3,4,5,6)*	Prioritization/ Delegation (1,2,3,4,5,6)*	Resuscitation (1,3,6,7)*	IV Start (1,3,4,6)*	Blood Admin./IV Pumps (1,2,3,4,5,6)*	Central Line/Blood Draw/Ports (1,2,3,4,6)*	Head to Toe Assessment (1,2,6)*	ECG/Hand-off report/CT (1,6)*	ECG Measurements (1,2,4,5,6)*
	Date: 1/7/2025	Date: 1/7/2025	Date: 1/7/2025	Date: 1/7/2025	Date: 1/9/2025	Date: 1/9/2025	Date: 1/10/2025	Date: 1/10/2025	Date: 1/10/2025	Date: 1/10/2025
Evaluation:	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S	S
Faculty Initials	FB	BS	CB	AR	FB/BS/ CB	AR	CB	BS/DW	BS	FB
Remediation: Date/Evaluation/Initials	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA	NA

***Course Objectives**

Comments:

Meditech Documentation: Satisfactory participation of assessment documentation including physical re-assessment, safety and fall assessment, RN mechanical ventilator assessment, IV location assessment, and documentation editing. Great job! FB

Physician Orders/SBAR: Satisfactory completion of physician's order lab per the SBAR skills competency rubric: phone call to physician with SBAR report, receiving and reading back multiple physician orders, and hand-off report given to the next student in rotation. Discussion of the treatment, medications, and plan of care for a patient experiencing NSTEMI and STEMI. BS

Prioritization/Delegation: Satisfactory completion of the prioritization and delegation skills lab. You satisfactorily prioritized care for multiple patients using multiple methods (e.g. Maslow's hierarchy of needs, ABC, Nursing Process, etc.). You were able to appropriately delegate nursing tasks for patients, and you actively participated in the group discussion on delegation of nursing tasks. Great job! CB

Resuscitation: Satisfactory participation in the practice of Hands-Only CPR, discussion regarding use of and ventilation with bag-valve mask/Ambu bag, and review of crash cart and Code Blue team duties and documentation. AR

IV Start: Satisfactory participation in the IV Start lab, including practice with technique, initiation and discontinuation of IV site, and placement of IV dressing. FB/CB/BS

Blood Admin/IV Pumps: Satisfactory completion of practice with blood administration safety checks and quality assurance audit. Great job with IV pump practice, the use of the medication library, and pump set up of primary and secondary IV medication infusion. AR

Central Line Dressing Change/Ports/Blood Draw: Satisfactory central line dressing change participation providing proper technique guidelines, maintenance of central line ports, and line flushing. You were satisfactory in accessing and de-accessing an infusaport device, demonstrated proper technique on how to draw blood from a CVAD, and properly labeled a blood tube per hospital policy. Great job! CB

Head to Toe Assessment: Satisfactory completion of the Head to Toe Assessment. Great job! DW/BS

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes

ECG/Telemetry Placements/Hand-off report/CT: Satisfactory participation with review of monitoring tutorial and placement of ECG/Telemetry patches and leads; satisfactory participation in review of Chest Tube/Atrium tutorial; satisfactory completion of handoff report activity. BS

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL PERFORMANCE TOOL
Advanced Medical Surgical Nursing- 2025

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Sandusky, Ohio

I was given the opportunity to review my final clinical ratings in each course competency. I was given the opportunity to ask questions about my clinical performance. I have the following comments to make about my clinical performance/final clinical evaluation:

Student eSignature & Date:

ar 11/15/2024

*End-of- Program Student Learning Outcomes