

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL PERFORMANCE TOOL
Nursing Foundations – 2024

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Sandusky, Ohio

Student:

Semester: Fall

Faculty: Frances Brennan, MSN, RN; Amy Rockwell, MSN, RN;
Chandra Barnes, MSN, RN; Nick Simonovich, MSN, RN
Heather Schwerer, MSN, RN; Brittany Lombardi, MSN, RN, CNE

Teaching Assistant: Stacia Atkins, BSN, RN

Final Grade: Satisfactory/Unsatisfactory

Date of Completion:

Faculty eSignature:

DIRECTIONS FOR USE:

Each week the students evaluate themselves based on the competencies using the performance code on page 2. The performance code includes the terms **Satisfactory (S)**, **Needs Improvement (NI)**, **Unsatisfactory (U)**, and **Not Available (NA)**. The faculty member will initial if in agreement with the student's evaluation. If there is a discrepancy in the performance code evaluation between the student and the faculty member, a note is written in the comment section by the faculty member with the rationale for the evaluation. All competencies must be rated a "S, NI, U, or NA". If the student does not self-rate, then it is an automatic "U". A student who submits the clinical evaluation tool late will be rated as "U" in the appropriate competency(s) for that clinical week. Whenever a student receives a "U" in a competency, it must be addressed with a comment as to why it is no longer a "U" the following week. If the student does not state why the "U" is corrected, it will be another "U" until the student addresses it. All clinical competencies are critical to meeting the objectives of the course. **An unsatisfactory or needs improvement as a final evaluation in any single competency results in a clinical course grade of unsatisfactory; this is a failure of the course. Patterns of performance over the course of the semester will be utilized to determine the final competency evaluations. The terms Satisfactory and Unsatisfactory will be used in evaluating the final grade or clinical course performance.**

METHODS OF EVALUATION:

Skills Lab Checklists	Faculty Feedback
Care Map Grading Rubric	Documentation
Administration of Medications Simulation Scenarios	Clinical Reflection
Skills Demonstration	

ABSENCE (Refer to Attendance Policy)

Date	Number of Hours	Comments	Make Up (Date/Time)

Evaluation of Clinical Performance Tool

Clinical Discussion Group Grading Rubric

Lasater Clinical Judgment Rubric

Faculty's Name	Initials
Chandra Barnes	CB
Frances Brennan	FB
Amy Rockwell	AR
Nicholas Simonovich	NS
Heather Schwerer	HS
Brittany Lombardi	BL
Stacia Atkins	SA

PERFORMANCE CODE

SATISFACTORY CLINICAL PERFORMANCE

Satisfactory (S): Safe, accurate each time, efficient, coordinated, confident, focuses on the patient, some expenditure of excess energy, within a reasonable time period, appropriate affective behavior, occasional supporting cues, minimal faculty feedback needed related to written clinical work.

UNSATISFACTORY CLINICAL PERFORMANCE

Needs Improvement (NI): Safe, accurate each time, skillful in parts of behavior, focuses more on the skill and self rather than the patient, inefficient, uncoordinated, anxious, worried, and flustered at times, expends excess energy within a delayed time period, frequent verbal and occasional physical directive cues in addition to supportive cues, faculty feedback required in several areas of clinical written work.

Unsatisfactory (U): Failure to achieve the course competency, safe but needs faculty reminders constantly, not always accurate, unskilled, inefficient, considerable expenditure of excess energy, anxious, disruptive or omitting behaviors, focus on skills and/or self, continuous verbal and frequent physical cues, unsafe, performs at risk to patient/clients/others, unable to function, incomplete, erroneous, faulty, illegible clinical written work, no feedback sought from faculty or response to feedback not evident in submitted written work. If the student does not self-rate a competency the competency is graded "U." A "U" in a competency must be addressed in writing by the student in the Evaluation of Clinical Performance tool. The student response must include how the competency has been or will be met at a satisfactory level. If the student does not address the "U", the faculty member (s) will continue to rate the competency unsatisfactory.

OTHER

Not Available (NA): The clinical experience which would meet the competency was not available.

***Grey shaded weekly competency boxes do not need a student evaluation rating or faculty/teaching assistant initials.**

Objective

1. Describe how diverse cultural, ethnic, and social backgrounds function as sources of patient, family, and community values. (2,4,6)*

[illegible]

	r i e n t a t i o n														
--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--	--

Comments

****Document your clinical location and patient age in the designated box above.**

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes
Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

Objective

2. Summarize knowledge of anatomy, physiology, chemistry, nutrition, psychosocial and developmental principles in performance of basic physical assessment through use of clinical judgment skills. (3,4, 5)*

[illegible]

(Interpreting).																
		NS				BL										

Comments

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes
Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

Objective

3. Select communication techniques and appropriate boundaries with patients, families, and health care team members. (1,2,3,4,6,7)*

[illegible]

Comments

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes

Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

Objective

4. Exemplify advanced searches in accessing electronic health care information and documenting patient care. (1,4,8)*

[illegible]

Comments

Week 2(4c,d): Satisfactory for listening attentively and actively participating in the Meditech orientation clinical. You showed beginning competence in the ability to access a patient's EHR, document care in an intervention, and locate patient data. You were able to access Lexicomp to locate patient education materials. Additionally, nursing policies and procedures were located on the health system intranet. Great job! NS/CB

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes

Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

[illegible]

f. Manages hygiene needs of assigned patient (Responding).																
g. Demonstrate appropriate skill with wound care (Responding).																
h. Document the location of fire pull stations and fire extinguishers. ** (Interpreting).						NA	NA									
		NS				BL										

Comments

****You must document the location of the pull station and extinguisher here for your first clinical experience.**

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes

Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

Objective																
6. Develop patient-centered plans of care utilizing the nursing process. (3,4,5,6,7)*																
Clinical Experience	Week 1	Week 2	Week 3	Week 4	Week 5	Week 6	Week 7	Week 8	Mid-Term	Week 9	Week 10	Week 11	Week 12	Week 13	Week 14 Make-Up	Final
Competencies: a. Utilize clinical judgment skills to develop a patient-centered plan of care (Responding).																
		NS														

Comments

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes

Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

Objective

7. Convert basic pharmacology principles into safe medication administration. (3,5,6,7)*

[illegible]

(Responding).																
*Week 11: BMV		NS														

Comments

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes
Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

Objective

8. Exemplify professional conduct through self-reflection, responsibility for learning, and goal setting. (1,5,7)*

[illegible]

i. Actively engage in self-reflection. (Reflecting)						NA	NA									
*		NS				BL										

**** Strength/weakness reflection (a,b): Must have different written example each week of clinical/lab. You must explain your plan for how you will improve. Example, “I am having a difficult time with obtaining a manual BP. I will get a BP cuff from Amy and practice manual BP’s with at least three members of my family this week.” Please ensure that you answer this section in-depth with your plan of action. Each week must be different.**

* End-of-Program Student Learning Outcomes
 Clinical judgment terminology embedded in each competency – noticing, interpreting, responding, reflecting

Date	Care Map Top Nursing Priority	Evaluation & Instructor Initials	Remediation & Instructor Initials
		*	*

Note: Students are required to submit one satisfactory care map by 11/18/2024 at 0800. If the care map is not evaluated as satisfactory upon initial submission, the student may revise the care map based on instructor feedback/remediation and resubmit one time by 11/25/2024 at 0800 to receive a satisfactory evaluation. ***See Attached Nursing Care Map Grading Rubric**

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Care Map Grading Rubric

Student Name:				Course Objective:			
Date or Clinical Week:							
Criteria		3	2	1	0	Points Earned	Comments
Noticing	1. Identify all abnormal assessment findings (subjective and objective); include specific patient data.	(lists at least 7*) *provides explanation if < 7	(lists 5-6)	(lists 5-7 but no specific patient data included)	(lists < 5 or gives no explanation)		
	2. Identify all abnormal lab findings/diagnostic tests; include specific patient data.	(lists at least 3*) *provides explanation if < 3		(lists 3 but no specific patient data included)	(lists < 3 or gives no explanation)		
	3. Identify all risk factors relevant to the patient.	(lists at least 5*) *provides explanation if < 5	(lists 4)	(lists 3)	(lists < 3 or gives no explanation)		
Interpreting	4. List all nursing priorities and highlight the top priority problem.	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	5. State the goal for the top nursing priority.	Complete			Not complete		
	6. Highlight all of the related/relevant data from the Noticing boxes that support the top priority nursing problem.	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	7. Identify all potential complications for the top nursing priority problem.	(lists at least 3)	(lists 2)		(lists < 2)		
	8. Identify signs and symptoms to monitor for each complication.	(lists at least 3)	(lists 2)		(lists < 2)		
Re	9. List all nursing interventions relevant to the top nursing priority.	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		

s p o n d i n g	10. Interventions are prioritized	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	11. All interventions include a frequency	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
	12. All interventions are individualized and realistic	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		

Criteria		3	2	1	0	Points Earned	Comments
	13. An appropriate rationale is included for each intervention	> 75% complete	50-75% complete	< 50% complete	0% complete		
R e f l e c t i n g	14. List all of the highlighted reassessment findings for the top nursing priority.	>75% complete	50-75% complete	<50% complete	0% complete		
	15. Evaluation includes one of the following statements: - Continue plan of care - Modify plan of care - Terminate plan of care	Complete			Not complete		

Reference

An in-text citation and reference are required.

The care map will be graded “needs improvement” if missing either the in-text citation or reference, but not both.

The care map will be graded “unsatisfactory” if no in-text citation AND reference is included.

Total Possible Points= 45 points 45-35 points = Satisfactory 34-23 points = Needs Improvement* < 23 points = Unsatisfactory*	Total Points:
*Total points adding up to less than or equal to 34 points require revision and resubmission until Satisfactory. Refer to the course specific requirements for resubmission deadlines. ***Students that are not satisfactory after 2 attempts will be required to meet with course faculty for remediation. *** Faculty/Teaching Assistant Comments:	Faculty/Teaching Assistant Initials:

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Nursing Foundations 2024
Simulation Evaluations

<u>Simulation Evaluation</u> Performance Codes: S: Satisfactory U: Unsatisfactory	Simulation #1 (2,3,5,8) *	Simulation #2 (2,3,5,7,8) *
	Date: 11/5/2024 or 11/12/2024	Date: 11/25/2024 or 11/26/2024
Evaluation (See Simulation Rubric)		
Faculty Initials		
Remediation: Date/Evaluation/Initials		

* Course Objectives

EVALUATION OF CLINICAL PERFORMANCE TOOL
Nursing Foundations – 2024

Firelands Regional Medical Center School of Nursing
Sandusky, Ohio

I was given the opportunity to review my final clinical ratings in each course competency. I was given the opportunity to ask questions about my clinical performance. I have the following comments to make about my clinical performance/final clinical evaluation:

Student eSignature & Date: _____