

CRITERIA: Area of Evaluation

A: Introduction

Interview: asked important and relevant questions; reasonable time spent

Cover page: Confirmed ID; discussion of "self-discovery"

Table of Contents : When to use this report (to encourage future use)

4 Windows page: Explain

Explanation of Scales and Scores, including mid-range, introductory discussion of personality

B: Personality

Personality: Main factor, description, sought client confirmation

Personality: Sub-factors used to explain nuances of main factor; sought client confirmation

Personality: Career Implications (Client ID of the most important ones; any not apply)

Personality: Paragraphs (read paragraphs or had a discussion during the graph review to cover the same ideas); sought client confirmation

Strengths/Non-strengths: clear explanation, markings, summary, "homework"

Money Page Discussion

Critical Life Issues: appropriate discussion

C: Interests

Circle graph: good explanations of how the client's interests are distributed; good questions

Explanation of Vocation/Support/LiveStyle interest levels, with examples
Working through Interests appropriately
Appropriate review of Interest Summary Pages (Activity/Occupation/Subject)
Appropriate review of Lowest Interest Groups; red flags noted if applicable
D: Skills
Skills: appropriate review including any red flags within the Lowest Skills section
E: Values
Work Environment, Work Outcomes and Life Values Asked for further explanation of how client values them when needed/helpful
WE, WO and LV: Any others needed to be added (top 5?) Any thing low in Values that should be noted/pointed out/asked about?
F: Interactive Action Plan
Synthesis Process: Creating the Donut
Synthesis Process: Create a Funnel with client
Next Steps: O*Net navigations
G: ICF Core Competency Standards
Cultivates trust & safety for the client
Maintains presence
Listens actively to client
Evokes awareness - ask questions
Facilitates client's growth

	x			
	x			
		x		
		x		
	x			
		x		
	x			
	x			
	x			
	x			
		x		
	x			
	x			



Comments

Grazed over this whole section. Talked more about who she is.

I like the comparisons he makes by explaining. He makes the links already between the windows

I like that he has her friend part of the consultation to confirm the factors and subfactors

He asked her at the end if she agrees or disagrees with the report.

I like that the action plan is already incorporated in the report discussion.

explained it well

Integrated the definitions with the results of the report.

He tends to challenge her opinions