

## **CRITERIA: Area of Evaluation**

### **A: Introduction**

Interview: asked important and relevant questions; reasonable time spent

Cover page: Confirmed ID; discussion of "self-discovery"

Table of Contents (ToC): When to use this report (to encourage future use)

### **B: Personality**

Explanation of Scales and Scores as appropriate within the context of discussing personality, including explanation of mid-range

Personality: Main trait, description, sought student confirmation

Personality: Sub-traits used to explain nuances of main trait; sought student confirmation

Personality: Personality Implications (Student ID of the most important ones; any not apply)

Strengths/Potential Struggles: clear explanation, markings, summary, "homework"

Synthesis of Personality: Connected personality traits and subtraits, noting themes and balancers and giving the student a sense of their overall personality design

### **C: Interests**

Explanation of YES! Interest Pathways

Holland graph: discussion of student perception of their focus area(s) (things, ideas, people, data)

Pie graph: good explanations of how the student's interests are distributed; good questions

Work through Interests appropriately and tied it back to personality.

**D: Skills**

Skills: confirm highest scoring skills, tie skills to personality and interests, and note any red flags with the lowest skills

**E: Priorities**

Surroundings, Results, and Life Purpose: Asked for further explanation of how the student defines them when needed/helpful

Surroundings, Results, and Life Purpose: Any others need to be added (top 5?) Anything low in Priorities that should be noted/pointed out/asked about? Accurately/appropriately tied priorities back to personality, interests, and skills.

**F: Interactive Action Plan: Your Exploring Self Target**

Synthesis Process: Explain the YES! Target and demonstrate using a client-aligned Occupation choice

**G: ICF Core Competency Standards**

Cultivates trust & safety for the student

Maintains presence

Listens actively to student

Evokes awareness - ask questions

Facilitates student's growth

**Total**

**Overall Feedback for Consultant:**

**Possible Points    Points Earned**

--

1.00                      0.6

0.75                      0.5

0.75                      0.5

--

0.75                      0.5

1.00                      0.8

1.50                      1.2

0.75                      0.5

0.75                      0.55

6.00                      5

--

0.75                      0.3

0.75                      0.5

1.25                      1

	1.00	0.7
	0.50	0.4
	0.50	0.4
	0.50	0.4
	0.50	0.4
	0.20	0.2
	0.20	0.2
	0.20	0.2
	0.20	0.2
	0.20	0.2
	0.20	0.2
	<b>20.00</b>	15.25

# YES! Consultation Rubric

## Comments

Better and clearer questions could have elicited more effective responses from the client. Mastering the type of questions that can break the ice better and get the student to become more interested in the session would generate a better outcome. Time spent was reasonable.

Confirmation of ID was done effectively. However the opportunity to introduce the self-discovery

Even though the ToC was discussed, a bit more encouragement on future use of the report could

The scales were mentioned in the course of discussing the personality traits, even though not all. Main traits were discussed and student confirmed them generally.

Attention of student was drawn to reflect on and illustrate the nuances of the main traits where

Implications were discussed sometimes with illustrations and the student was encouraged to connect

Emphasis were made about the Strengths and potential struggles, but there were no indication

The synthesis of the student's personality was done, establishing the essence of the uniqueness.

The interest pathways was not explained as a tool. However, the essence of the tool was not lost.

The Hollard Code was illustrated in the discussions, even though there was still much to explain

The interest graph was explained quite extensively with illustrations.

This was adequately done.

Adequately done with emphasis on natural abilities.

Adequately done, even soliciting for students own awareness and understanding of the prioritiz

Adequately done.

Feedback from parents demonstrated the extent of appreciation and necessary steps to be tak

The student was kept in the limelight all throughout the session, notwithstanding the presence of the parents.

Adequate effort was made to keep the session fresh and engaging.

The student was effectively allowed to express herself and given the needed feedback to demo

Adequately done.

The session demonstrated the need and way to help the student to grow and flourish.

## Trainer Comments

Primary objective was not adequately done.

Should have been done.

Not done thoroughly enough. A bit more illustration of the scales, main traits and subtraits was necessary.

Not done appropriately.

Not done to confirm appreciation of them.

Not done to check of homework as expected.

Not done to discuss aspects of the personality and making the student aware of the unique personality design.

Not done to assist student in the discussions made.

Not done to talk about it.

es.

en for the development not only of the student, but by extension, to the family as a whole.

nstrate that she was listened to, while encouraging her share her thoughts.

Area	Points possible	Points Assigned
<b>Personality</b>	<b>3</b>	2.5
<b>Interest</b>	<b>2</b>	2
<b>Skills/Abilities</b>	<b>1.5</b>	1.2
<b>Priorities</b>	<b>1.5</b>	1.2
<b>Recommendation</b>	<b>2</b>	2

## Comments

Emphasis were laid on the main and subtraits and strengths

Main interests were highlighted including self disclosed interests.

Major skills and abilities were highlighted

Priorities in each focused area was emphasized.

Recommendations were made around the entire unique design as well as her own unique name as well.