CRITERIA: Area of Evaluation
A: Introduction
Interview: asked important and relevant questions; reasonable time spent
Cover page: Confirmed ID; discussion of "self-discovery"
Table of Contents (ToC): When to use this report (to encourage future use)
Explanation of Scales and Scores, including mid-range, Self Assessment of Personality
B: Personality
Personality: Main trait, description, sought student confirmation
Personality: Sub-traits used to explain nuances of main trait; sought student confirmation
Personality: Personality Implications (Student ID of the most important ones; any not apply)
Strengths/Potential Struggles: clear explanation, markings, summary, "homework"
Synthesis of Personality: Connected personality traits and subtraits, noting themes and balancers and giving the student a sense of their overall personality design
C: Interests
Explanation of YES! Interest Pathways
Holland graph: discussion of student perception of their focus area(s) (things, ideas, people, data)

Pie graph: good explanations of how the student's interests are distributed; good questions
Working through Interests appropriately
D: Skills
Skills: confirm highest scoring skills, any red flags with the lowest skills
E: Priorities
Surroundings, Results, and Life Purpose: Asked for further explanation of how the student defines them when needed/helpful
Surroundings, Results, and Life Purpose: Any others need to be added (top 5?) Anything low in Priorities that should be noted/pointed out/asked about?
F: Interactive Action Plan
Synthesis Process: Create a Funnel with student
G: ICF Core Competency Standards
Cultivates trust & safety for the student
Maintains presence
Listens actively to student
Evokes awareness - ask questions
Facilitates student's growth
Overall Feedback for Consultant

YES! - Personal Consultation Rubric				
Greatly Exceeds Expectations (Excellent) **5 Points**	**Exceeds Expectations (Good)** **4 points**	**Meets Expectations (Satisfactory)** **3 points**	**Below Expectations (Fair)** **2 points**	**Does Not Meet Expectations (Poor)** **1 point**
		x		
x				
x				
x				
x				
	x			
x				
x				
		x		
		x		
x				

		X		
X				
	X			
X				
X				
		X		
X				
X				
X				
X				
X				

Comments
Si, fue direto al punto.
Excellent!!!
There was introductory explanation of the content to be discussed.
Excellent explanation of the scales and interaction of traits
Made a correct explanation of personality traits
YES!!!!
The personality subtraits were very well explained and the consultant placed good emphasis on their meaning.
He took the necessary time emphasizing without doing a deep focus on the strugles
He made a correct verbal summary of all of the above.
There was no specific explanation with the document, but he made sure it was understood.
Good explanation

There was no pie in my report, was something different, but Robert made a good explanation anyways.
Yes!
He emphasized the main skills
He made a good integration with the priorities and his personality
Reobert made a good explanation so the first priorities and integrated wit the other components. He emphazised on the lowest ones.
He made a good verbal integration but did not use the tool.
Yes
Yes
Yes
Yes, but not much open-ended questions so much
For sure

Excellent job Robert.