

YES! - Personal Consultation Rubric

| CRITERIA: Area of Evaluation | Greatly Exceeds Expectations (Excellent) 5 Points | Exceeds Expectations (Good) 4 points | Meets Expectations (Satisfactory) 3 points | Below Expectations (Fair) 2 points | Does Not Meet Expectations (Poor) 1 point | Comments |
|--|--|---|---|---------------------------------------|--|---|
| A: Introduction | | | | | | |
| Interview: asked important and relevant questions; reasonable time spent | 5 | | | | | |
| Cover page: Confirmed ID; discussion of "self-discovery" | 5 | | | | | |
| Table of Contents (ToC): When to use this report (to encourage future use) | 5 | | | | | |
| Explanation of Scales and Scores, including mid-range, Self Assessment of Personality | 5 | | | | | |
| B: Personality | | | | | | |
| Personality: Main trait, description, sought student | 5 | | | | | |
| Personality: Sub-traits used to explain nuances of main trait; sought student confirmation | 5 | | | | | |
| Personality: Personality Implications (Student ID of the most important ones; any not apply) | 5 | | | | | |
| Strengths/Potential Struggles: clear explanation, markings, summary, "homework" | | | 3 | | | Provided long term homework. I would have liked short term homework (Min 1:13:00) |
| Synthesis of Personality: Connected personality traits and subtraits, noting themes and balancers and giving the student a sense of their overall personality design | 5 | | | | | |
| C: Interests | | | | | | |
| Explanation of YES! Interest Pathways | 5 | | | | | |
| Holland graph: discussion of student perception of their focus area(s) (things, ideas, people, data) | | | | | | |
| Pie graph: good explanations of how the student's interests are distributed; good questions | | | | | | |
| Working through Interests appropriately | 5 | | | | | |
| D: Skills | | | | | | |
| Skills: confirm highest scoring skills, any red flags with the lowest skills | 5 | | | | | |
| E: Priorities | | | | | | |
| Surroundings, Results, and Life Purpose: Asked for further explanation of how the student defines them when needed/helpful | 5 | | | | | Student did not ask for further explanation |
| Surroundings, Results, and Life Purpose: Any others need to be added (top 5)? Anything low in Priorities that should be noted/pointed out/asked about? | | | | | | |
| F: Interactive Action Plan | | | | | | |
| Synthesis Process: Create a Funnel with student | | | | | | |
| G: ICF Core Competency Standards | | | | | | |
| Cultivates trust & safety for the student | 5 | | | | | |
| Maintains presence | 5 | | | | | |
| Listens actively to student | 5 | | | | | When student spoke, Consultant did engage well. |
| Evokes awareness - ask questions | | | 3 | | | I would have liked Consultant to ask more open ended questions |
| Facilitates student's growth | | | 4 | | | |
| Overall Feedback for Consultant | | | | | | |

I appreciated the Consultants care and engagement with student. Highly structured and flowed well. I would have appreciated to see more open ended questions. Provide short term homework. Having the student writing throughout the session is a solid activity. Exceeded the 45 min to hour time frame.