

Covenant School of Nursing

Disciplinary Action Summary Assignment

Instructional Module 2

Student Name: Reagan Pearson Date: 9/2/22 DAS Assignment # 1 (1-4)

Name of the defendant: Kenneth R Allen

License number of the defendant: 521467

Date action was taken against the license: May 13th 2014

Type of action taken against the license: Revoked

Use the space below to describe the events which led to action taken against the license. If multiple charges were in play, be sure and cite them, e.g. drug diversion, HIPAA violation, abandonment, forfeiture on student loans, etc.

Mr. Allen Provided false information to the Board of Nurses examiners for the state of Texas. He answered "No" to the question: "Have you ever been convicted of a crime other than minor traffic violations?" Which he was found later to have been convicted of shoplifting on November 8, 1971. He committed this crime on August 21, 1971.

Use the space below to provide a description of measures you think could have prevented any action being taken against the license and/or would have prevented harm to the patient, if harm occurred. Consider which universal competencies were violated.

No harm came to any patient. The defendant/nurse lied about a past shoplifting crime. If he would have told the truth and provided appropriate documentation of this crime to the TBON he may have had a chance to put his shoplifting incident in the past, but he chose to hide this from the board. Unfortunately for Mr. Allen this lead to the Revocation of his license due to him providing false information to the board of nursing.

Use the space below to describe what action you think a prudent nurse would take as the first to person to discover the event described, in other words, you are the one who discovers the patient has been harmed by the nurse or you have discovered the impairment or criminal activity cited in the disciplinary action.

I hate to say whether the TBON is in the right or wrong about this just because I don't know Mr. Allen. What I mean by this is I don't know his true character. He could be very embarrassed by what he had done in the past and just wanted to forget that it ever happened which caused him to live in a delusion that the incident never took place. Or he thought somehow that he would be allowed to practice if the Board found out that he had been committed of shoplifting. Either way the law states that he had to provide this information up front. By

not providing this information up front it makes it look like he is hiding this information. So it leaves the Board to believe that this person is untrustworthy because he withheld information/lie to the board. Unfortunately he broke the trust of the Board and his license was revoked due to this withholding of information.