

1. Why do you think Scrusby was acquitted of all charges related to the health south fraud?

I think that Scrusby was acquitted of the charges because in the book the authors states that another 18 different managers were charged with crimes for the companies' misdeeds. Not only that but the lack of physical evidence was a big key in releasing him. The last reason is that he told the press he just signed papers and didn't look them over, that's a very vague statement and would could be a comfortable lie. Whether the jury believed him or not he still became a freeman

2. Identify the stakeholders in this case. Explain the impact of the fraud on each stakeholder.

In this story the stakeholders would be people with stocks within the company. The shareholder stakes were estimated to be worth the that they had bought were originally appraised at a few million dollars and by falsifying their information the money that they thought their stocks were worth are now worth barley anything .

3. Do you think it is feasible for Richard Scrusby not to have known about the fraud if all the CFOs admitted to it? Explain. Is it really feasible for a CFO to commit fraud without the CEO being involved? Explain.

I do think it is possible that he didn't know. However, if he truly did not know then he is not a good CEO. A good CEO should know everything coming from every department. if I were running a company, I would always want to know what is going on with everyone in each department id want see all of our bank statements and client information I would want everything so that way I am not disconnected. Even if I was no longer actively working there I would want to know how things were going and if everything was up to code or if anything needed to be done. That's just simple stuff I think all CEOs should do whether he knew or not is not known the fact remains that he is not a good CEO for not know what is going on.